FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

JUN 0 8 2007

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself, 27)		DEFENDANTS	CLERK, U.S. OFTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
David Davis & Page Gearhar	Davis & Page Gearhart-Davis		Clearlake Police		bepartiment		
David Davis & Page Gearhart - Davis In PRO SE County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (Except in U.S. Plaintiff Cases):		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (In U.S. Plaintiff Cases Only)					
(c) Allomeys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number, if you are representing yourself, provide same.)		Attorneys (If Known)					
David Davis & Page Gearhart	-Davis		2: 0 7 - (W - 109	9 8 LKK K	IM PS	
In PRO SE	1						
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)							
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Citizen of This S	PTI	DEF	rated or Principa ness in this State	Place D4	DEF 🗅 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Anoth	ner State 🗆 2	□ 2 Incorpor	rated and Princip	oal Place 🗆 5 i	- 5	
·	Citizen or Subje	ct of a Foreign Country 3	□3 Foreign	Nation	□6 1	□6	
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)							
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 Removed from ☐ 3 Remanded from ☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify): ☐ 6 Multi-☐ 7 Appeal to District Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened District Litigation Magistrate Judge ☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge from Litigation ☐ 8 Multi-☐ 9 Appeal to District Judge from Litigation ☐ 8 Multi-☐ 9 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 9 Multi-☐ 1 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 1 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 2 Removed from ☐ 3 Remanded from ☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify): ☐ 6 Multi-☐ 1 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 8 Multi-☐ 1 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 9 Multi-☐ 1 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 1 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 1 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 2 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 2 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 3 Remanded from ☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify): ☐ 6 Multi-☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 1 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 2 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 3 Remanded from ☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify): ☐ 6 Multi-☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 2 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 3 Remanded from ☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify): ☐ 6 Multi-☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 3 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify): ☐ 6 Multi-☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify): ☐ 6 Multi-☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 6 Multi-☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge ☐ 7 Appeal t							
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: XY08	□ No (Check 'Yes	only of demanded in compla	int)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: Yes No		IONEY DEMANDED IN C	OMPLAINT: S_				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which yo	u are filing and wri	to a brief statement of cause.	Do not cite jurisdi	ictional statutes	unless diversity.)		
Violation of Civil Rights						Luca.	
VIL NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)	10 0.3.	C. 9 271 & 292	-) 12 0	3.6. 9 19	171, 7903	1765	
	токта	P CASSIDATE SEA	is Their out	松世派海绵 拉勒克里			
	RSONAL INJURY		A SAME		Fair Labor Stand		
	0 Airplane	PROPERTY	☐ 510 Motions		Act		
☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 31 ☐ 450 Commerce/ICC ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	 Airplane Production Limbility 	t 370 Other Fraud	Vacate Se Habeas C		Labor/Mgmt. Relations		
	O Assault, Libel &		□ 530 General		Labor/Mgmt.		
☐ 460 Deportation Overpayment &	Slander	Property Damage		naity	Reporting &		
	0 Fed. Employers		1		Disclosure Act		
and Corrupt Judgment Organizations D 151 Medicare Act D 34	Liability Marine	Product Liability	Other 550 Civil Rig		Railway Labor A Other Labor	ACI	
1 - 1	5 Marine Product	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC	☐ 555 Prison Co		Litigation		
□ 490 Cable/Sat TV Student Loan (Excl.	Liability	158		G 791	Empl. Ret. Inc.		
	Motor Vehicle	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157		E GREEK!	Security Act		
☐ 850 Securities/Commodities ☐ 153 Recovery of ☐ 35 /Exchange ☐ Overpayment of ☐ 35	5 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	4 - 2 Compatibility Commence	☐ 610 Agricultu		Copyrights		
	Other Personal	□ 441 Voting	Drug		Patent		
USC 3410 🔲 160 Stockholders' Suits	Injury	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 625 Drug Rei	ated □ 840	Trademark		
	2 Personal Injury	☐ 443 Housing/Acco-	Seizure o		阿里 尔尔克克斯		
□ 892 Economic Stabilization □ 195 Contract Product Act Liability □ 36	Med Mulpractic 5 Personal Injury-	e mmodetions ☐ 444 Welfarc	Property 881		HIA (1395ff) Black Lung (923	n	
□ 893 Environmental Matters □ 196 Franchise	Product Liabilit		□ 630 Liquor Li		DIWC/DIWW	,,	
□ 894 Energy Allocation Act	8 Asbestos Person		□ 640 R.Ř. & T		(405(g))		
□ 895 Freedom of Info. Act □ 210 Land Condemnation	Injury Product	Employment	650 Airline R		SSID Title XVI		
□ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi- □ 220 Foreclosure nation Under Equal □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	Liability	446 American with Disabilities -	Gecupati Safety /H		RSI (405(g))		
Access to Justice 240 Torts to Land		Other	690 Other		Taxes (U.S. Plai	ntiff	
☐ 950 Constitutionality of ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability		12440 Other Civil		ł	or Defendant)		
State Statutes		Rights		□ 87t	IRS-Third Party USC 7609	26	
VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed a	nd dismissed, rema	nded or closed? W No 🗆 Y	l				
If yes, list case number(s):							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:							
- ON OLIVE CON CIVELLY, CAR ITMINON,							

David Davis & Page Gearhart-Davis PRO SE PO Box 3225 Clearlake, CA 95422 (707) 995-0749

FILED

JUN 0 8 2007

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEPUTY CLEAN

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR

THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

David Davis & Page Gearhart-Davis

Case No.:

PRO SE,

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff,

2: 07 - CV - 1098 LKK KUM PS

10 VS.

11

Clearlake Police Department,

Defendant(s)

14

12

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Plaintiff David Davis & Page Gearhart- Davis for its Complaint alleges as

The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as

follows:

JURISDICTION

ALLEGATIONS

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

1. Violation of Civil Rights under the Conspiracy Against Rights of Citizens, 18 U.S.C. § 241.

this action arises under the United States Constitution.

- Violation of Civil Rights under the Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law, 18 U.S.C. 5 242.
- 3. Violation of Civil Rights under the Law Enforcement Misconduct Statute, 42 U.S.C. § 14141.

- 1 4. Violation of Civil Rights under the Civil Action For Deprivation of Rights, 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 3 | 5. Violation of Civil Rights under the Conspiracies to Interfere With Civil Rights, 42 U.S.C. § 1985.
 - 6. Violation of the United States Constitution, Forth Amendment.
- 6 [] 7. Violation of the United States Constitution, Fourteenth Amendment.
 - 8. Use of Excessive Force, False Detainment, Forged Documents
- 8 | Clearlake Police Department officers used excessive force when falsely
- 9 detaining David Davis in violation of the Forth and Fourteenth Amendments to
- 10 | the United States Constitution. Clearlake Police Department Officers have
- 11 | used racial epithets or racially insensitive language directed against David
- 12 | Davis & Page Gearhart-Davis. Complaints filed against the Clearlake Police
- 13 | Department by David Davis and Page Gearhart-Davis have resulted in no
- 14 discipline being imposed against any Clearlake Police Officer.
- 15 9. Failure to Investigate Complaints Properly
- 16 | The Clearlake Police Department gives greater weight to the statements of its
- 17 | police officers, while discounting statements of witnesses for the complaint;
- 18 | does not fully collect all evidence during its investigations; and does not
- 19 | consider an officer's prior complaint history in its investigation.
- 20 | 10. Failure to Supervise Officers Adequately
- 21 | The Clearlake Police Department does not monitor complaints filed against its
- 22 | officers as a means of detecting problems before they occur. Clearlake
- 23 | Police Officers with complaint histories can and have been promoted.
- 24 | RELIEF

5

7

- 25 (A) Compensation for punitive damages due to; but not limited to,
- 26 | harassment, stress, and humiliation.

Grant such other and additional relief as this Court may deem just and proper. Trial By Jury Plaintiff, David Davis & Page Gearhart-Davis, request a jury trial pursuant to Rule 38, Section B of The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Dated: 6-8-07 Respectfully Submitted, Page Gearhart-Davis